

KFA-IKP(Th)-1994-1

3 January 1994

The spectrum and solutions of the generalized BFKL equation for total cross section

N.N. Nikolaev^{a,b}, B.G. Zakharov^{a,b} and V.R.Zoller^{a,c}

^a*IKP(Theorie), KFA Jülich, 5170 Jülich, Germany*

^b*L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, GSP-1, 117940,
ul. Kosygina 2, Moscow 117334, Russia.*

^c*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
Bolshaya Cheremushkinskaya 25, 117259 Moscow, Russia.*

Abstract

The colour dipole cross section is the principal quantity in the lightcone s -channel description of the diffractive scattering. Recently we have shown that the dipole cross section satisfies the generalized BFKL equation. In this paper we discuss properties and solutions of our generalized BFKL equation with allowance for the finite gluon correlation radius R_c . The latter is introduced in a gauge invariant manner. We present estimates of the intercept of the pomeron and find the asymptotic form of the dipole cross section.

Submitted to *Physics Letters B*

E-mail: kph154@zam001.zam.kfa-juelich.de

1 Introduction.

The asymptotic behavior of high-energy scattering in perturbative QCD is usually discussed in terms of the Balitskii-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation for the differential gluon distribution function [1-3]. In this communication we discuss properties of the generalized BFKL equation directly for the total cross section, which was proposed by us recently [4,5]. Our equation enables one to introduce the finite correlation radius for the perturbative gluons in a gauge invariant manner. The starting point of its derivation is the technique of multiparton lightcone wave functions, developed by two of the present authors [4,6]. The principal observation is that the transverse separations $\vec{\rho}_i$ and the lightcone momentum partitions z_i of partons in the many-body Fock state are conserved in the scattering process. Interaction of the $(n+2)$ -parton Fock state is described by the lightcone wave function $\Psi_{n+2}(\vec{\rho}_{n+2}, z_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_1, z_1)$ and the $(n+2)$ -parton cross section $\sigma_{n+2}(\vec{\rho}_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{\rho}_1)$, which are perturbatively calculable [4] (here n refers to the number of gluons in the Fock state).

To the lowest order in the perturbative QCD one starts with the $q\bar{q}$ Fock states of mesons (qqq state for the baryons) and with the scattering of the (A) projectile and (B) target colour dipoles of transverse size $\vec{r}_A$ and $\vec{r}_B$ (here $\vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B$ are the two-dimensional vectors in the impact parameter plane)

$$\sigma_0(\vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B) = \frac{32}{9} \int \frac{d^2\vec{k}}{(k^2 + \mu_G^2)^2} \alpha_S^2 \left[1 - \exp(-i\vec{k}\vec{r}_A) \right] \left[1 - \exp(i\vec{k}\vec{r}_B) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here $\alpha_S(k^2)$ is the running strong coupling and in the integrand of (1) α_S^2 must be understood as $\alpha_S(\max\{k^2, \frac{C^2}{r_A^2}\})\alpha_S(\max\{k^2, \frac{C^2}{r_B^2}\})$, where $C \approx 1.5$ and we impose the infrared freezing of $\alpha_S(k^2)$ at large distances ([6] and see below). Introduction of the correlation radius for gluons $R_c = 1/\mu_G$ is discussed below. In terms of the dipole-dipole cross section (1), the perturbative part of the total cross section for the interaction of mesons A and B equals

$$\sigma^{(pt)}(AB) = \langle \langle \sigma(\vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B) \rangle_A \rangle_B = \int dz_A d^2\vec{r}_A dz_B d^2\vec{r}_B |\Psi(z_A, \vec{r}_A)|^2 |\Psi(z_B, \vec{r}_B)|^2 \sigma_0(\vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B). \quad (2)$$

The irrefutable advantage of the representation (2) is that it makes full use of the *exact* diagonalization of the scattering matrix in the dipole-size representation. (Hereafter we discuss $\sigma_0(\vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B)$ averaged over the relative orientation of dipoles, as it appears in Eq. (2).)

The increase in the perturbative component of the total cross section comes from the rising multiplicity of perturbative gluons in hadrons, $n_g \propto \log s$, times $\Delta\sigma_g$ - the change in the dipole cross section for the presence of gluons: $\Delta\sigma^{(pt)} \sim n_g \Delta\sigma_g$ (here s is a square of the c.m.s energy). The n -gluon Fock components of the meson give contributions $\propto \log^n s$ to the total cross section.

The presentation is organized as follows. We briefly review the derivation of our generalized BFKL equation for the dipole cross section and discuss its BFKL scaling limit. Then we discuss the impact of the finite correlation length for gluons R_c and of the running QCD coupling on the spectrum of our generalized BFKL equation. Our principal conclusion is that the generalized BFKL kernel has the continuous spectrum, so that the partial waves of the scattering amplitude have a cut in the complex angular momentum plane. We find the form of the dipole cross section for the rightmost singularity in the j -plane and its intercept as a function of the gluon correlation radius R_c . We conclude with comments on the admissible form of the boundary conditions for the generalized BFKL equation and on restoration of the factorization of asymptotic total cross sections.

2 Generalized BFKL equation for total cross section

Now we sketch the derivation of our generalized BFKL equation [4,5] for the dipole cross section. The perturbative $q\bar{q}g$ Fock state generated radiatively from the parent colour singlet $q\bar{q}$ state of size $\vec{r}$ has the interaction cross section [4]

$$\sigma_3(r, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{9}{8}[\sigma_0(\rho_1) + \sigma_0(\rho_2)] - \frac{1}{8}\sigma_0(r), \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{\rho}_{1,2}$ are separations of the gluon from the quark and antiquark respectively, $\vec{\rho}_2 = \vec{\rho}_1 - \vec{r}$. (Hereafter we suppress the target variable r_B and for the sake of brevity use $r = r_A$.) The cross section $\sigma_3(r, \rho_1, \rho_2)$ has gauge invariance properties of $\sigma_3(r, 0, r) = \sigma_3(r, r, 0) = \sigma_0(r)$ and $\sigma_3(0, \rho, \rho) = \frac{9}{4}\sigma_0(\rho)$. The former shows that when the gluon is sitting on top of the (anti)quark, the $qg(\bar{q}g)$ system is indistinguishable from the (anti)quark. The latter shows that the colour-octet $q\bar{q}$ system of the vanishing size is indistinguishable from the gluon, and $\frac{9}{4}$ is the familiar ratio of the octet and triplet couplings. The increase of the cross section for the presence of

gluons equals

$$\Delta\sigma_g(r, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \sigma_3(r, \rho_1, \rho_2) - \sigma_0(r) = \frac{9}{8}[\sigma_0(\rho_1) + \sigma_0(\rho_2) - \sigma_0(r)] , \quad (4)$$

The lightcone density of soft, $z_g \ll 1$, gluons in the $q\bar{q}g$ state derived in [4] equals

$$|\Phi_1(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2, z_g)|^2 = \frac{1}{z_g} \frac{1}{3\pi^3} \mu_G^2 \left| g_S(r_1^{(min)}) K_1(\mu_G \rho_1) \frac{\vec{\rho}_1}{\rho_1} - g_S(r_2^{(min)}) K_1(\mu_G \rho_2) \frac{\vec{\rho}_2}{\rho_2} \right|^2 . \quad (5)$$

Here $g_S(r)$ is the effective colour charge, $\alpha_S(r) = g_S(r)^2/4\pi$ is the running strong coupling, $r_{1,2}^{(min)} = \min\{r, \rho_{1,2}\}$, $K_1(x)$ is the modified Bessel function, z_g is a fraction of the (lightcone) momentum of $q\bar{q}$ pair carried by the gluon, and $\int dz_g/z_g = \log(s/s_0) = \xi$ gives the usual logarithmic multiplicity of radiative gluons. The wave function (5) counts only the physical, transverse, gluons.

If $n_g(r)$ is the number of perturbative gluons in the dipole $\vec{r}$,

$$n_g(r) = \int dz_g d^2\vec{\rho}_1 |\Phi_1(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2, z_g)|^2 , \quad (6)$$

then the weight of the radiationless $q\bar{q}$ component will be renormalized by the factor $[1 - n_g(z, \vec{r})]$.

As a result, the total cross section with allowance for the perturbative gluons in the beam dipole A takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\xi, r) &= [1 - n_g(z, \vec{r})] \sigma_0(r) + \int dz_g d^2\vec{\rho} |\Phi_1(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2, z_g)|^2 \sigma_3(r, \rho_1, \rho_2) \\ &= \sigma_0(r) + \int dz_g d^2\vec{\rho}_1 |\Phi_1(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2, z_g)|^2 \Delta\sigma_g(r, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \sigma_0(r) + \sigma_1(r) \xi = [1 + \xi \mathcal{K} \otimes] \sigma_0(r) , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is defined by [4,5]

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(r) &= \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_0(r) = \\ &= \frac{3}{8\pi^3} \int d^2\vec{\rho}_1 \mu_G^2 \left| g_S(r_1^{(min)}) K_1(\mu_G \rho_1) \frac{\vec{\rho}_1}{\rho_1} - g_S(r_2^{(min)}) K_1(\mu_G \rho_2) \frac{\vec{\rho}_2}{\rho_2} \right|^2 [\sigma_0(\rho_1) + \sigma_0(\rho_2) - \sigma_0(r)] . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Eq. (7) shows that the effect of gluons can be reabsorbed into the generalized dipole cross section $\sigma(\xi, r)$. To higher orders in ξ , this generalized dipole cross section can be expanded as $\sigma(\xi, r) = \sum_{n=0} \frac{1}{n!} \sigma_n(r) \xi^n$. Then, Eq. (8) generalizes to $\sigma_{n+1} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_n$, and

$$\frac{\partial \sigma(\xi, r)}{\partial \xi} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma(\xi, r) \quad (9)$$

is our generalized BFKL equation for the dipole cross section.

Colour gauge invariance of the presented formalism is noteworthy. Firstly, the dipole-dipole cross section $\sigma_0(r, R)$ vanishes at $r \rightarrow 0$ or $R \rightarrow 0$, because gluons decouple from the colour-singlet state of vanishing size. Secondly, for the same reason the wave function (5) vanishes at $r \rightarrow 0$. Thirdly, $\Delta\sigma_g(r, \rho_1, \rho_2) \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho_1 \rightarrow 0$ (or $\rho_2 \rightarrow 0$), since by colour charge conservation the quark-gluon system with the gluon sitting on top of the (anti)quark is indistinguishable from the (anti)quark, and the interaction properties of such a $q\bar{q}g$ state are identical to that of the $q\bar{q}$ state. Therefore, the above introduction of a finite correlation radius for gluons $R_c = 1/\mu_G$, which takes care of the perturbative gluons not propagating beyond the correlation radius R_c , is perfectly consistent with gauge invariance constraints.

The renormalization of the weight of the radiationless $q\bar{q}$ Fock state in Eq. (7) in a simple and intuitively appealing form takes care of the virtual radiative corrections (in the BFKL formalism [1,2] these very radiative corrections are responsible for the reggeization of gluons). The generalized BFKL equation (8) is both infrared and ultraviolet finite.

3 The BFKL scaling limit

In the BFKL scaling limit of $r, \rho_1, \rho_2 \ll R_c$ and fixed α_S

$$\mu_G^2 \left| K_1(\mu_G \rho_1) \frac{\vec{\rho}_1}{\rho_1} - K_1(\mu_G \rho_2) \frac{\vec{\rho}_2}{\rho_2} \right|^2 = \frac{r^2}{\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2}, \quad (10)$$

the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ becomes independent of the gluon correlation radius R_c and with the fixed α_S it takes on the scale-invariant form. The corresponding eigenfunctions of Eq. (9) are

$$E(\omega, \xi, r) = (r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}+\omega} \exp[\Delta(\omega)\xi] \quad (11)$$

with the eigenvalue (intercept) [here $\vec{r} = r\vec{n}$, $\vec{\rho}_1 = r\vec{x}$ and $\vec{\rho}_2 = r(\vec{x} + \vec{n})$]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{3\alpha_S}{2\pi^2} \int d^2\vec{x} \frac{2(\vec{x}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}+\omega} - 1}{[\vec{x}^2 + \epsilon^2][(\vec{x} + \vec{n})^2 + \epsilon^2]} = \frac{3\alpha_S}{\pi} \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}-\omega} + z^{\frac{1}{2}+\omega} - 2z}{z(1-z)} \\ &= \frac{3\alpha_S}{\pi} [2\Psi(1) - \Psi(\frac{1}{2} - \omega) - \Psi(\frac{1}{2} + \omega)]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here $\Psi(x)$ is the digamma function, and we have indicated the regularization which preserves the symmetry of the kernel $\mathcal{K}$. The final result for $\Delta(\omega)$ coincides with eigenvalues of the BFKL

equation [1-3]. In the complex j -plane, the rightmost singularity is located at $j = \alpha_{\mathbf{P}} = 1 + \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$, where

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}} = \Delta(0) = \frac{12 \log 2}{\pi} \alpha_S. \quad (13)$$

When ω is real and varies from $-\frac{1}{2}$ to 0 and to $\frac{1}{2}$, also the intercept $\Delta(\omega)$ is real and varies from $+\infty$ down to $\Delta(0) = \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ and back to $+\infty$, along the cut from $j = 1 + \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ to $+\infty$ in the complex angular momentum j plane. If $\omega = i\nu$ and ν varies from $-\infty$ to 0 and to $+\infty$, then the intercept $\Delta(i\nu)$ is again real and varies from $-\infty$ up to $\Delta(0) = \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ and back to $-\infty$, along the cut from $j = -\infty$ to $j = 1 + \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ in the complex j -plane. The choice of the latter cut is appropriate for the Regge asymptotics at $\xi \gg 1$ and the counterpart of the conventional Mellin representation is

$$\sigma(\xi, r) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\nu f(\nu) E(i\nu, r, \xi) = r \int \frac{dr'}{(r')^2} K(\xi, r, r') \sigma(\xi = 0, r'), \quad (14)$$

where in the BFKL regime the evolution kernel (Green's function) equals

$$K(\xi, r, r') = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\nu \exp \left[2i\nu \log \frac{r}{r'} \right] \exp[\xi \Delta(i\nu)] \propto \frac{\exp(\Delta_{\mathbf{P}} \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \exp \left(-2 \frac{(\log r - \log r')^2}{\xi \Delta''(0)} \right). \quad (15)$$

Here we have used the fact that $\Delta(i\nu)$ has the maximum at $\nu = 0$, so that the dominant at large ξ saddle-point contribution in (15) is evaluated using the expansion

$$\Delta(i\nu) = \Delta_{\mathbf{P}} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta''(0) \nu^2 \quad (16)$$

Since the BFKL eigenfunctions (11) are oscillating functions of r , *á priori* it is not obvious that an arbitrary solution $\sigma(\xi, r)$ will be positive-valued at all ξ and r . The BFKL kernel $K(\xi, r, r')$ of Eq. (15) is manifestly positive-valued at large ξ , so that starting with the positive-valued $\sigma(0, r)$ one obtains the positive-valued asymptotical cross section $\sigma(\xi, r)$. In the BFKL regime, the rightmost j -plane singularity corresponds to the asymptotic dipole cross section $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\xi, r) = \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r) \exp(\xi \Delta_{\mathbf{P}})$, where

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r) \propto r. \quad (17)$$

More direct correspondance between our equations (8,9) in the scaling limit of $\mu_G \rightarrow 0$ and the original BFKL equation can be established if one rewrites our Eqs. (8,9) as an equation for the function

$$g(\xi, r) = \frac{3\sigma(\xi, r)}{\pi^2 r^2 \alpha_S} \quad (18)$$

which in the BFKL scaling limit is simply the density of gluons $g(x, k^2)$ at the Bjorken variable $x = x_0 \exp(-\xi)$ and the virtuality $k^2 \sim 1/r^2$, where $x_0 \sim 0.1-0.01$ corresponds to the onset of the leading-log($\frac{1}{x}$) approximation (the relation (18) holds for the running coupling too, for the detailed derivation see [4,7]). Indeed, making use of the conformal symmetry of the fixed- α_S BFKL equation [3], $\vec{r}$ can easily be traded for $\vec{k}$ and $\sigma(\xi, r)$ can be traded for $g(\xi, k^2)$, and after introduction of the function $\phi(\xi, k^2) = g(\xi, k^2)/k^2$ the original form of the BFKL equation will be recovered from Eq. (8) (in the BFKL scaling limit $\phi(\xi, k^2)$ satisfies the same equation as the more often considered $\partial g(\xi, k^2)/\partial k^2$).

The GLDAP limit of Eqs. (8,9), i.e., the limit of large $\log(\frac{1}{r^2})$ at finite ξ , was discussed in great detail in [4], here we concentrate on $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

4 The spectrum of the generalized BFKL equation

The ‘diffusion’ kernel (15) makes it obvious that the BFKL scaling approximation is not self-consistent in the realm of realistic QCD: starting with $\sigma(\xi = 0, r)$ which was concentrated at the perturbative small $r \lesssim R \ll R_c$ one ends up at large ξ with $\sigma(\xi, r)$ which extends up to the nonperturbative $r \sim R \exp(\sqrt{\xi \Delta''(0)}) > R_c$. Therefore, introduction of a certain infrared regularization in the form of a finite gluon correlation length R_c is inevitable, and hereafter we concentrate on effects of finite R_c on the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$. As we have emphasized above, our kernel $\mathcal{K}$ introduces R_c in the manifestly gauge invariant manner. Interpretation of $\mu_G = 1/R_c$ as an effective mass of the gluon suggests the infrared freezing of the strong coupling $\alpha_S(r)$ at $r > R_f \sim R_c$. At $r \leq R_f$ we use

$$\alpha_S(r) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \log\left(\frac{C^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2 r^2}\right)}, \quad (19)$$

where $\beta_0 = 11 - \frac{2}{3}N_f = 9$ for $N_f = 3$ active flavours, we take $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ and $C = 1.5$ (for the discussion of the scale factor C see [6]). At large $r > R_f = 0.42\text{f}$ we impose the simplest freezing $\alpha_S(r > R_f) = \alpha_S^{(fr)} = 0.8$. More sophisticated smooth freezing can easily be considered, but it will be obvious that our principal conclusions do not depend on the form of the freezing. The correlation radius R_c and the freezing coupling provide the minimal infrared regularization

of the perturbaton theory, and in the sequel we consider the so-regularized generalized BFKL equation (8) as applicable at both small and large radii r .

Although only the case of $R_c \sim R_f$ is of the physical interest, a study of (albeit unphysical) limiting cases $R_f \rightarrow 0$ at finite R_c , and of finite R_f at $R_c \rightarrow \infty$, is instructive for the insight into how the spectrum of the j -plane singularities is modified by the infrared regularization. The useful observation is that in view of Eqs. (14,15) the large- ξ behavior of solutions of the BFKL limit of Eq. (9) is similar to that of solutions of the 'Schrödinger' equation

$$\left[-\frac{\Delta''(0)}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + V(z) \right] \Phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \Phi = \epsilon \Phi \quad (20)$$

for a particle of mass $M = 1/\Delta''(0)$ in the potential $V(z) = -\Delta(0)$. Here the coordinate $z = \log r^2$, the 'wavefunction' $\Phi(\xi, z) = \sigma(\xi, r)/r$ and the intercept equals the 'energy' ϵ taken with the minus sign.

The first limiting case of $R_f \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to introduction of a finite gluon correlation radius R_c at fixed α_S . In this limiting case the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ will still be given by the BFKL formula (13). Indeed, on the infinite semiaxis $\log r < \log R_c$ the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ retains its scaling properties, the corresponding eigenfunctions will be essentially identical to the set (11), the spectrum of eigenvalues will be continuous and the cut in the j -plane will be the same as at $R_c \rightarrow \infty$. This is particularly obvious from Eq. (20), since on the the semiaxis $z < z_c = \log R_c^2$ the potential $V(z) = -\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ is flat, and the corresponding Schrödinger operator has the continuum spectrum starting with the minimal energy $\epsilon = -\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$. Evidently, this property of the spectrum does not depend on the details of how the gluon correlation length R_c is introduced. Similar conclusion on the spectrum of the infrared-cutoff BFKL equation in the momentum-representation was reached in [8] in a model with very different infrared cutoff.

The behaviour of solutions at large $r \gg R_c$ requires special investigation. In this region $K_1(\mu_G \rho_1) K_1(\mu_G \rho_2)$ is exponentially small, which is related to the exponential decay of the correlation function of perturbative gluons. (Such a decay with the correlation radius $R_c \sim 0.2-0.3\text{f}$ is suggested by the lattice studies, for the review see [9]. We remind that $K_1(x)$ in the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ comes from the gradient of the gluon correlation function $\propto K_0(x)$.) . Then, at large r our Eq. (8) takes the form

$$\sigma_{n+1}(r) = \frac{3\alpha_S}{\pi^3} \int d^2 \vec{\rho}_1 \mu_G^2 K_1(\mu_G \rho_1)^2 [\sigma_n(\rho_1) + \sigma_n(\rho_2) - \sigma_n(r)], \quad (21)$$

which has a continuum of solutions with the large- r behavior of the form

$$E_+(\beta, \xi, r) = [a(\beta) + \exp(i\beta\mu_G r)] \exp[\delta(\beta)\xi]. \quad (22)$$

The scaling limit of Eq. (8) does not have localized solutions, see eigenfunctions (11). The node-free localized solutions of (21) which vanish at large r , are excluded since for such a solution the l.h.s. of Eq. (21) vanishes at $r \rightarrow \infty$, as well as $\sigma(r)$ and $\sigma(\rho_2)$ in the integrand, and one is left with the r -independent contribution from $\sigma(\rho_1)$. This shows that (22) gives a complete continuum set of solutions, and in this limit our generalized BFKL equation generates a cut in the complex j -plane.

When continued to small r through the region of $r \sim R_c$, the plane waves in the linear- r space transform into the plane waves in the $\log r^2$ -space (times the overall factor r), $E(i\nu, \xi, r) = r \exp(i\nu \log r^2)$ of Eq. (11), so that $\delta(\beta) = \Delta(i\nu)$. Evidently, the two real and the node-free solutions with $\nu = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ must match each other, so that the rightmost j -plane singularity with the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}$ Eq. (13) must correspond to $\sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r) \propto r$ at $r \ll R_c$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r) \propto \text{const}$ at $r \gg R_c$. We wish to emphasize that although such a $\sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r)$ extends to large r , Eq. (21) makes it obvious that the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}$ is controlled by the behavior of $\sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r)$ at $r \sim R_c$.

The intercept $\delta(\beta)$ will have a maximum at $\beta = 0$. Then, repeating the derivation of the Green's function (15) one can easily show that at large r, r' the Green's function of Eq. (21) has the component

$$K(\xi, r, r') \propto \frac{\exp(\Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu_G^2(r-r')^2}{2\xi\delta''(0)}\right), \quad (23)$$

which is reminiscent of the familiar multiperipheral diffusion. Indeed, at large $r \gg 1/\mu_G$ a sort of the additive quark model is recovered, in which the (anti)quark of the dipole develops its own perturbative gluonic cloud, and the quark-quark scattering will be described by the multiperipheral exchange of massive vector mesons. The Green's function (23) shows the emergence of the Regge growth of the interaction radius in this limit. It also shows that the large- ξ behavior of solutions of our generalized BFKL equation in this region will be similar to solutions of the Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{\delta''(0)}{2\mu_G^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + U(r)\right] \Phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \Phi = \epsilon \Phi \quad (24)$$

with the potential $U(r) = -\delta(0)$ which is flat at $r > R_c$.

The second interesting case is of $R_c \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., the case of massless gluons, $\mu_G \rightarrow 0$, but with the running coupling which freezes, $\alpha_S(r) = \alpha_S^{(fr)} = \alpha_S(R_f)$, at finite $r \geq R_f$. In this case the scaling invariance of the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is restored on the infinite semiaxis $\log r > \log R_c$, where the corresponding eigenfunctions $E_+(i\nu, \xi, r)$ are again essentially identical to the BFKL set (11), the spectrum of eigenvalues will evidently be continuous, the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ will be given by the BFKL formula with $\alpha_S = \alpha_S^{(fr)}$, and the partial waves will have the cut in the complex j -plane identical to that for the original BFKL equation. Indeed, the corresponding ‘Schrödinger’ equation (20) has the continuous spectrum starting with the minimum energy $\epsilon = -\Delta(0)$, and the exact shape of the potential at $z < z_f$ is not important here. Here we agree with Ross et al. [10,11] and disagree with Lipatov [3], who concluded that introduction of the running coupling leads to the discrete spectrum of eigenvalues.

The continuation of the BFKL solutions (11) to the region of $z < z_f = \log R_f^2$ poses no problems. Let us start with the quasiclassical situation when $\alpha^{(fr)} \ll 1$, so that $\alpha_S(z) = \alpha_S(R_f) [1 + (\beta_0/4\pi)\alpha_S(R_f)(z_f - z)]^{-1}$ is a slow function of z . In this case the slowly varying running coupling can be factored out from the integrand of Eqs. (8,9), which suggests that Eq.(20) will take the form of the ‘Schrödinger’ equation for a particle with the slowly varying mass

$$M \approx \frac{1}{\Delta''(0)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_S(R_f)}{\alpha_S(r)} \quad (25)$$

in the slowly varying and monotonically decreasing potential

$$V(z) \approx -\Delta_{\mathbf{P}} \frac{\alpha_S(r)}{\alpha_S(R_f)}, \quad (26)$$

which is flat, $V(z) = -\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$, at $z > z_f$. Evidently, the solutions $E_+(i\nu, \xi, r)$ with $\Delta(i\nu) > 0$ will have the underbarrier decrease at $z \rightarrow -\infty$, whereas the solutions with $\Delta(i\nu) < 0$ will be continued to $z \ll z_f$ as plane waves. Consequently, the eigenfunction $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r)$ for the rightmost singularity will decrease at $r \rightarrow 0$ faster than the r^1 solution for the fixed- α_S BFKL scaling regime. The case of large values of the frozen coupling $\alpha_S^{(fr)}$ must be qualitatively the same.

The realistic case of the interest is a combination of the two previous cases with $R_f \sim R_c$. It is convenient to start from the region of $r > R_c, R_f$. The only change from Eq. (21) will be that the running coupling $\alpha_S(\rho_1)$ must be absorbed into the integrand. We have a continuum of solutions of the form (22) with the spectral parameter $-\infty < \beta < +\infty$, and by the same consideration

as above we can exclude the node-free localized solutions with $\sigma(r)$ vanishing at large r . The rightmost singularity in the j -plane will have the dipole cross section $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r) = \text{const}$ vs. r at large r . Its intercept will be controlled by the behavior of $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r)$ at $r \sim R_c$. The continuation of solutions (22) into the region of $r < R_c, R_f$ is not any different from that discussed above. This shows, that our generalized BFKL equation produces a cut in the complex j -plane.

The above elimination of localized solutions and of the discret spectrum of the pomeron is rigorous in the framework of our minimal infrared regularization. Technically, it is based on the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ being finite at $r \rightarrow \infty$, see Eq. (21), which gives rise to $\sigma(r) \sim \text{const}$ at $r > R_c$. The interaction picture which emerges at $r > R_c$ has much intuitive appeal: each well separated quark of the beam (target) dipole develops the perturbative gluonic cloud of its own and a sort of the additive quark model is recovered. The discret spectrum of the pomeron comes along with the localized solutions $\sigma(r) \rightarrow 0$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$. At first sight, such a vanishing of the perturbative total cross section for colour dipoles of large size looks quite unphysical, but we wish to present the qualitative arguments in favor of such a possibility.

The plausible scenario for the discret spectrum of the pomeron is as follows: Our derivation clearly shows that the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is proportional to the probability of radiation of perturbative gluons. When the quarks of the colour dipole are a distance $r \gg R_c$ apart, the nonperturbative colour fields stretched between the quarks may strongly modify the vacuum and suppress the perturbative gluonic fluctuations on the nonperturbative background in the vicinity of quarks. This can be modelled by the decrease of the effective (nonlocal) perturbative coupling $\alpha_S(r, \rho)$ and/or the increase of μ_G with increasing r , resulting in the decrease of the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ with increasing r . In terms of the Schrödinger equation (24) this amounts for to an increase of $U(r)$ towards large r . (One can draw a useful analogy with the asymptotic freedom decrease of $\alpha_S(r)$ and the related increase of the $V(z)$ Eq. (26) in the Schrödinger equation (20).) Evidently, the energy ϵ of the lowest state will be higher than the bottom of the potential well, so that the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ of the rightmost singularity will be lowered compared to the case of the minimal regularization. If with the increasing r the potential $U(r)$ flattens at the still negative value $U(\infty) = -\Delta_c$, then the Schrödinger equation (24) will have the continuous spectrum starting with $\epsilon = -\Delta_c$ and in the complex j -plane there will be a cut from $j = 1 + \Delta_c$ to $j = -\infty$ and

certain number of isolated poles to the right of the cut. If $U(\infty) > 0$, then the cut in the complex j -plane will start at $j = 1$. Ross and Hancock [11] find a discrete spectrum in the model with a very different infrared cutoff of the original BFKL equation in the momentum representation. We have checked that even a very abrupt cutoff of $r > R_{conf} \sim 1.5\text{f}$ has a negligible, less than one per cent, effect on $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$.

5 The evaluation of $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ and the pomeron dipole cross section

We study the large- ξ behavior of numerical solutions of Eq. (9) and verify that $\sigma(\xi, r)$ has the same asymptotic behavior $\sigma(\xi, r) \implies \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r) \exp(\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}\xi)$ irrespective of the boundary condition at $\xi = 0$. Namely, we compute $\Delta_{eff}(\xi, r) = \partial \log \sigma(\xi, r) / \partial \xi$ and check that at large ξ the effective intercept $\Delta_{eff}(\xi, r)$ tends to the same limiting value $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ for all r . In Fig.1 we present the eigenfunction $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r)$ for few values of μ_G (we keep $\alpha_S^{(fr)} = 0.8$). As we have anticipated above, $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r)$ flattens at large r and decreases towards small r faster than the BFKL solution $\propto r^1$ of Eq. (16), but slower than $\propto r^2$.

The corresponding intercepts are shown in Fig.2. Our $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ is significantly smaller than the BFKL result (13) and smaller than the Collins-Kwiecinski (CK) lower bound [12]

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}} > \frac{3.6}{\pi} \alpha_S^{(fr)}. \quad (27)$$

This bound was derived [12] using the sharp infrared cutoff of $k^2 > k_0^2$. For closer connection with the CK analysis in Eq. (27) we substitute $\alpha_S^{(fr)}$ for $\min\{\alpha_S^{(fr)}, \alpha_S(\mu_G^2)\}$. The significance of the CK lower bound is not clear to us, since the CK cutoff breaks the initial symmetry of the BFKL equation, does not give an identical cutoff of all gluon propagators and is suspect from the point of view of gauge invariance ([13], for the similar criticism of the cutoff [12] see also Collins and Landshoff [8]; this criticism also applies to the cutoff used in [11]).)

Above we have used very simple modelling of the nonperturbative effects in the infrared region in terms of the gluon correlation radius R_c and the freezing coupling $\alpha_S(r)$. Because we have checked that $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ only weakly depends on the contribution from $r \gtrsim 1.2\text{f}$, we conclude that with the realistic values of $R_c \sim 0.2\text{-}0.3\text{f}$, suggested by the lattice studies, the intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$

for the exchange by perturbative gluons will be significantly higher than the effective intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(hN) \sim 0.1$ as given by the phenomenology of hadronic scattering [14,15]. The plausible scenario, suggested by the observed slow rise of the hadronic cross sections is that the exchange by perturbative gluons is only a small part of $\sigma_{tot}(hN)$ at moderate energies ([5], for the early discussion of this scenario see [14]). The detailed phenomenology of the hadronic cross sections and of the diffractive deep inelastic scattering in the framework of our generalized BFKL equation will be presented elsewhere, we only notice that the choice of $\mu_G = 0.75$ gives a consistent description of the energy dependence of total cross section for the hadronic scattering and the real photoproduction, and of the small- x structure functions [16].

In the above discussion we have suppressed the target size variables r_B but, evidently, it is the generalized energy-dependent dipole-dipole cross section $\sigma(\xi, \vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B)$ which emerges as the fundamental quantity of the lightcone s -channel approach to the diffractive scattering. The lowest-order dipole-dipole cross section has an obvious beam-target symmetry property $\sigma_0(\vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B) = \sigma_0(\vec{r}_B, \vec{r}_A)$. This beam-target symmetry is but a requirement of the Lorentz-invariance, one has to have it extended to all energies, and it emerges as an important constraint on the admissible boundary condition for the BFKL equation. In the derivation of our generalized BFKL equation we have treated the s -channel gluon g_s of Fig. 3 as belonging to the beam-dipole A . The gluon-induced correction to the total cross section was reinterpreted in terms of the generalized dipole cross section $\sigma(\xi, \vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B)$, see Eq. (7). Alternatively, we could have treated the same s -channel gluon g_s as belonging to the target-dipole, and the result must have been the same. The two descriptions differ in that in the former the perturbative t -channel gluons g_1 and $g_{1'}$ enter the kernel $\mathcal{K}_A$, which we supply with the subscript A as it acts on the beam variable r_A of the dipole-dipole cross section $\sigma(\xi, r_A, r_B)$, whereas the gluons g_2 and $g_{2'}$ are the exchanged gluons in the dipole-dipole cross section (1). In the latter description $g_2, g_{2'}$ enter the kernel $\mathcal{K}_B$ which now acts on the target variable r_B of the dipole-dipole cross section $\sigma(\xi, \vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B)$, and $g_1, g_{1'}$ will become the exchanged gluons in the input dipole-dipole cross section. The beam-target symmetry constraint essentially implies, that the boundary condition for the BFKL evolution must be calculable within the same perturbation theory as the one used to construct the generalized BFKL kernel $\mathcal{K}$ Eq. (8). (To this end we remind, that the $K_1(x)$ in the

kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is precisely the derivative of the gluon propagator (correlation function) $K_0(x)$.) This kernel-cross section relationship is crucial for having the beam-target symmetry, which will be violated with the arbitrary choice of the boundary condition for the dipole-dipole cross section $\sigma(\xi = 0, \vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B)$.

We conclude with the comment, that for the rightmost singularity in the j -plane the beam-target symmetric dipole-dipole total cross section will have the factorized form

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(\xi, \vec{r}_A, \vec{r}_B) = \sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r_A) \sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r_B) \exp(\xi \Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}). \quad (28)$$

Remarkably, by virtue of Eq. (2) this implies that at asymptotic energies the BFKL pomeron gives rise to the factorization of total cross sections. However, this factorization will be broken by the unitarization corrections needed to tame too rapid a rise of the bare pomeron cross section.

6 Conclusions

The purpose of this paper has been to understand the spectrum of eigenvalues of the generalized BFKL equation [4,5] for the dipole total cross section in the realistic case of a finite correlation radius R_c for perturbative gluons and of the freezing strong coupling $\alpha_S(r)$. The advantage of our equation (8,9) is an easy introduction of the gluon correlation radius R_c in a manner which is consistent with gauge invariance constraints.

We have shown that our generalized BFKL equation (8,9) has the continuous spectrum, which corresponds to the QCD pomeron described by the cut in the complex j -plane. (Under certain conditions, the nonperturbative effects may produce poles in the j -plane, though, and we commented on these conditions.) We have determined the dipole cross section $\sigma_{\mathbf{IP}}(r)$ for the rightmost singularity in the j -plane and found the corresponding intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}$. It is much smaller than given by the BFKL formula (13) and even smaller than the lower bound cited in [12]. Still, with the realistic infrared regularization we find $\Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}$ which is substantially larger than the phenomenological value $\Delta_{\mathbf{IP}}(hN) \sim 0.1$ suggested by the observed energy-dependence of hadronic cross sections. The plausible solution is that the exchange by perturbative gluons contributes only a small part of the hadronic total cross sections and more work in this direction is needed. We have formulated the beam-target symmetry as the consistency constraint which

must be satisfied by the boundary condition for the BFKL equation for total cross section. We have shown that the bare BFKL pomeron gives the factorizing asymptotic total cross section.

Acknowledgements: B.G.Z. and V.R.Z. are grateful to J.Speth for the hospitality at IKP, KFA Jülich.

References

- [1] E.A.Kuraev, L.N.Lipatov and V.S.Fadin, *Sov.Phys. JETP* **44** (1976) 443; **45** (1977) 199.
- [2] Ya.Ya.Balitsky and L.N.Lipatov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **28** (1978) 822.
- [3] L.N.Lipatov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **63** (1986) 904; L.N.Lipatov. Pomeron in Quantum Chromodynamics. In: *Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics*, editor A.H.Mueller, World Scientific, 1989.
- [4] N.N.Nikolaev and B.G.Zakharov, *Landau Inst. preprint Landau-16/93* and *Jülich preprint KFA-IKP(Th)-1993-17*, June 1993, submitted to *Z. Phys. C*.
- [5] N.N.Nikolaev, B.G.Zakharov and V.R.Zoller, *Jülich preprint KFA-IKP(TH)-1993-34*, December 1993; *JETP Letters*, to be published.
- [6] N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, *Z. Phys.* **C49** (1991) 607; **C53** (1992) 331.
- [7] V. Barone, M.Genovese, N.N. Nikolaev, E. Predazzi and B.G. Zakharov, *Torino preprint DFTT 28/93*, June 1993, submitted to *Phys.Lett. B*.
- [8] J.C.Collins and P.V.Landshoff, *Phys. Lett.* **B276** (1992) 196.
- [9] E.Shuryak, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65** (1993) 1.
- [10] G.J.Daniel and D.A.Ross, *Phys. Lett.* **B22** (1989) 166.
- [11] R.E.Hancock and D.A.Ross, *Nucl. Phys.* **B383** (1992) 575.
- [12] J.C.Collins and J.Kwiecinski, *Nucl. Phys.* **B316** (1989) 307.
- [13] N.N.Nikolaev, B.G.Zakharov and V.R.Zoller, *Jülich preprint KFA-IKP(TH)-1994-2*, January 1994; *JETP* (1994), to be published.
- [14] B.Z.Kopeliovich, N.N.Nikolaev and I.K.Potashnikova, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 769.
- [15] P.E.Volkovitsky, A.M.Lapidus, V.I.Lisin and K.A.Ter-Martirosyan, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24** (1976) 648; A.Donnachie and P.V.Landshoff, *Phys. Lett.* **B296** (1992) 227.

[16] N.N.Nikolaev and B.G.Zakharov, paper in preparation.

Fig.1 - The pomeron dipole cross section $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(r)$ for different values of μ_G . The straight lines show the r^1 and r^2 behavior.

Fig.2 - The intercept $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}$ for $\mu_G = 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0$ GeV. The solid curve shows the Collins-Kwiecinski lower bound (27).

Fig.3 - One of diagrams of the driving term of the rising total cross section.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9401052v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9401052v1>

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9401052v1>